

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/787,922	06/13/2001	Karin Angela Hing	HING3001/REF	8656
7590 04/01/2005		EXAMINER		
Bacon & Thomas			GRIFFIN, STEVEN P	
625 Slaters Lar 4th Floor	e		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, V	A 22314		1731	

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 :			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Communication Re: Appeal	09/787,922	HING ET AL.	
Januari Januari I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven P. Griffin	1731	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover shee	with the correspondence add	dress -
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not	acceptable because:		
(a) it was not timely filed.			
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal	was not submitted. See 37	CFR 41.20(b)(1).	
(c) the appeal fee received on wa	as not timely filed.		
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insuff	icient. The appeal fee requir	ed by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$_	·
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with	37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that n	o claim has been twice rejected	l.
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was	s mailed by the Office on		
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT ac	ceptable for the reason(s) in	dicated below:	
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely.	See 37 CFR 41.37(a).		
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief ha	as not been submitted. See	37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).	
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is i	insufficient. The brief fee rec	uired by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is	\$
The appeal in this application will be dismissibilities and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1) See 37 CFR 41.37(e).			
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSE	ED because:		
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as period for obtaining an extension of			d and
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the CFR 1.136(a) has expired.	period for obtaining an exter	nsion of time to file the brief und	er 37
(c) 🛛 a Request for Continued Examination	n (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.11	4 was filed on <u>8 March 2005</u> .	
(d)			
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, thi	is application:		
(a) 🛛 is abandoned because there are no	allowed claims.		
(b) is before the examiner for final dispo on the merits remains CLOSED.	sition because it contains all	owed claims. Prosecution	
(c) is before the examiner for considerat	SUF	STEVEN P. GRIFFIN ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	
	7	ECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	
Patent and Trademark Office		Part of Paper No	0320
	ommunication Re: Appeal	i acorrape No	. 5520

Application/Control Number: 09/787,922 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application on 3/8/05, after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences. Therefore, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. The request, however, lacks the fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(e) and/or the submission required by 37 CFR 1.114. Since the proceedings as to the rejected claims are considered terminated, and no claim is allowed, the application is abandoned. See MPEP 1215.01.

The submission filed with the request for continued examination fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.114(c). In this case, since only an Information Disclosure Statement was filed without a response which is responsive to the last Outstanding Office Action (the Final Rejection mailed 3/25/03) it is not deemed as a proper submission which is responsive within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.111 to the last outstanding Office Action. As set forth in MPEP 1215.01:

"An appeal brief or reply brief (or related papers) is not a submission under 37 CFR 1.114, unless the transmittal letter of the RCE contains a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. See MPEP § 706.07(h), paragraph II. The filing of an RCE will be treated as a withdrawal of the appeal by the applicant, regardless of whether the RCE includes the appropriate fee or a submission. Therefore, when an RCE is filed without the appropriate fee or a submission in an application that has no allowed claims, the application will be considered abandoned. To avoid abandonment, the RCE should be filed in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(h), paragraphs I-II." (emphasis added)

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Steven P. Griffin at telephone number (571) 272-1189.

Steven P. Griffin
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1731